

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/508,775	Applicant(s) MATTIASSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(2) Emily Wagner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

examiner contacted applicant's representative and proposed changes to claim 1, an agreement was reached relative to the language, and authorization for an examiner's amendment was given

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)